

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT
LITIGATION

MDL No. 3084 CRB

**[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS
DUPLICATIVE CASES**

This Document Relates to:

*A.P. v. Uber Technologies, Inc. et al., No. 3:25-
cv-02105-CRB*

*Arpitkumar Patel v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et
al.; No. 3:25-cv-05287-CRB*

*Jane Doe L.S. 618 v. Uber Technologies, Inc. et
al., No. 3:25-cv-06160-CRB*

*K.L. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.; 3:25-cv-
06178-CRB*

Having considered Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Duplicative Cases, the Court finds that the Plaintiffs subject to Defendants' Motion have resolved the dual representation issues, and the later-filed complaint filed on behalf of each Plaintiff will be dismissed by the filing firm.

The Court therefore hereby DENIES the Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Duplicative cases as it relates to the following cases:

3. *K.L. v. Uber Technologies, Inc. et al., No. 3:25-cv-06178-CRB*

4. *Patel v. Uber Technologies, Inc. et al., No. 3:25-cv-05287-CRB*

Additionally, the Defendants' request for sanctions is improper as they fail to satisfy this threshold procedural requirement under Fed. R. Civ. P. 37; N.D. Cal. Civ. L.R. 7-2, 7-8, and is therefore denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____

HON. CHARLES R. BREYER
United States District Court Judge